Predicting Speech Audibility from the Audiogram to Advocate for Listening and Learning Needs

A useful modification for estimating audibility via functional hearing thresholds

BY KAREN L. ANDERSON, PHD

Tools for predicting children's speech audibility in a classroom from their audiograms, as well as a way to explain their potential speech perception in varying classroom listening environments.

In the September 2009 issue of The Hearing Review, Dennis Hampton, PhD, introduced the Color Speech Range Audiogram.1 This is a useful tool for increasing the understanding of the meaning of the audiogram by adults with hearing loss and their families, displaying different degrees of hearing loss in a green/yellow/red stop-light coding that clearly communicates when hearing loss is likely to result in listening difficulties.

The ease of using this colorful approach to the audiogram would be appealing to pediatric audiologists; however, the difficulty experienced by children with hearing loss cannot be assumed to be the same as it is for adults. Also, the audiogram is a static representation of the very dynamic process of speech perception in varying listening environments. School-age children with amplification devices are frequently seated strategically within their classrooms so they are relatively close to where the teacher stands for large-group instruction. However, they are also regularly required to listen across the classroom as their teacher moves about the room or other students talk. Finally, students with hearing loss also need to be able to hear the fast-paced conversation of peers, even during quiet conversation. Thus, even if personal FM systems are in use, there remains a need to hear and understand soft speech in a school setting.

It is recognized that children with hearing loss are at a disadvantage when listening in a large group, such as in a classroom setting. What is not so widely recognized is the level of success experienced by children with typical hearing under controlled simulations of classroom listening situations.

A study by Bodkin et al2 investigated word recognition in quiet and noise for 126 typically hearing and developing children ages 3-17 years to obtain age-appropriate normative data in a variety of listening situations. They conducted their testing at two different loudness levels: normal/loud conversational speech (50 dBHL) and soft or quiet voice loudness (35 dBHL). Age-appropriate, open-set, single-word lists were used, and the competing noise was 3-talker speech babble. Based on results of this study, word recognition scores do not decrease significantly as the listening task becomes more difficult, regardless of age. In summary, students with hearing loss are competing against typically developing children who can perform at about 90% correct or better on single-word recognition tasks—even in high levels of background noise (0 SNR) when listening to soft speech (35 dBHL).

Count the Dots: An Adult Speech Intelligibility Index Estimator

The SII Count-the-Dots Audiogram Form3 provides a way to estimate the ability to hear the acoustic energy of speech sounds. This widely recognized method takes the relative acoustic energy at each of the frequency bands and breaks it down into dots. The more dots that occur above the hearing threshold line, the more speech energy that the individual will perceive. The SII Count-the-Dots Audiogram represents speech produced at an average loudness of 45 dBHL (conversational speech).

In a classroom setting, the teacher's voice is often at 50 to 60 dBHL, changing
Audibility represents the listening challenge caused by fragmented speech perception. Audibility should not be interpreted as speech perception, which is how well an individual recognizes the elements of speech that he perceives.

**SPEECH AUDIBILITY AUDIOGRAM FOR CLASSROOM LISTENING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Somewhere Elem.</td>
<td>3/3/11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loudness in dB HL</th>
<th>Soft speech (35 dB HL)</th>
<th>Teacher voice (50 dB HL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 0                | 95% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 0 - 10 dB HL | 0-20 dB HL should perceive 98% of speech sounds at a comfortable level in a quiet classroom and acceptable reverberation levels (35 dB A or less background noise in an unoccupied classroom & reverberation no greater than 0.9 sec)
|                  | 64% at +10 S/N, 34% at 0 S/N | 84% at +10 S/N, 48% at 0 S/N |
| 10               | 75% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 10 - 15 dB HL | 95% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 20 - 25 dB HL |
|                  | 44% at +10 S/N, 24% at 0 S/N | 67% at +10 S/N, 41% at 0 S/N |
| 15               | 60% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 15 - 20 dB HL | 60% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 30-35 dB HL |
|                  | 29% at +10 S/N, 9% at 0 S/N | 46% at +10 S/N, 20% at 0 S/N |
| 20               | 40% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 20 - 25 dB HL | 45% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 35 - 40 dB HL |
|                  | 9% at +10 S/N, 0% at 0 S/N | 31% at +10 S/N, 5% at 0 S/N |
| 25               | 25% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 25 - 30 dB HL | 30% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 40 - 45 dB HL |
|                  | 0% in any setting that is not quiet | 16% at +10 S/N, 0% at 0 S/N |
| 30               | 15% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 30 - 35 dB HL | |
|                  | 0% in any setting that is not quiet | |
| 35               | 10% audibility of speech energy perceived with hearing levels between 35 - 40 dB HL | |
|                  | 0% in any setting that is not quiet | |

**S/N means the loudness of the speaker's voice (i.e. teacher) over the background noise. 0 S/N = noise and voice are the same loudness. FM negates the effects of background noise and distance and provides optimal access to verbal instruction in large and small groups.**

**Results of Functional Listening Evaluation: Type of speech materials used: WW-22 word list & 4-talker babble**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEECH PERCEPTION</th>
<th>Close: 50 dB HL</th>
<th>Close: 50 dB HL</th>
<th>Distant: 35 dB HL</th>
<th>Distant: 35 dB HL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quiet No noise</td>
<td>+10 S/N</td>
<td>Quiet No noise</td>
<td>+10 S/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory + Visual</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory Only</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended Hearing Technology/Accommodations:**

John has a bilateral moderate to severe hearing loss and good amplification resulting in the aided hearing ability seen above. When listening to loud speech (teacher speech) and watching, he can perform with 90% accuracy or above in quiet. In typical classroom noise when not able to see the teacher's face his accuracy may drop to 60%. John is challenged when listening to the speech of class peers. John requires an FM for full access to verbal instruction, passing the microphone to peers in small group and class discussions, and would benefit from extra visuals.
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Figure 1. The Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening form.
Relationship of Hearing Loss to Listening and Learning Needs

Child’s name: 1 of 9 Handouts Available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>56-70 dB HEARING LOSS</th>
<th>Potential Educational Accommodations and Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Possible Impact on the Understanding of Language and Speech</td>
<td>Possible Social Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Even with hearing aids, child will typically be aware of people talking around him/her, but will miss parts of words said resulting in difficulty in situations requiring verbal communication (both one-to-one and in groups).</td>
<td>• If hearing loss was late-identified and language delay was not prevented, communication interaction with peers will be significantly affected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Without amplification, conversation must be very loud to be understood; a 55 dB loss can cause a child to miss up to 100% of speech information without functioning amplification.</td>
<td>• Children will have greater difficulty socializing, especially in noisy settings such as lunch, cooperative learning situations, or recess.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If hearing loss is not identified before age one year and appropriately managed, delayed spoken language, syntax, reduced speech intelligibility and flat voice quality is likely.</td>
<td>• Tendency for poorer self-concept and social immaturity may contribute to a sense of rejection; peer inservice helpful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Age when first amplified, consistency of hearing aid use and early language intervention strongly tied to success of speech, language and learning development.</td>
<td>• Use of sign language or a visual communication system by children with substantial language delays or additional learning needs, may be useful to access linguistically complex instruction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Addition of visual communication system often indicated if language delays and/or additional disabilities are present.</td>
<td>• Note-taking, captioned films, etc. often are needed accommodations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use of a personal FM system will reduce the effects of noise and distance and allow increased auditory access to verbal instruction.</td>
<td>• Teacher inservice required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• With hearing aids alone, ability to understand in the classroom is greatly reduced by distance and noise.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Complete List of Handouts:

- 16-25 dB Hearing Loss
- 26-40 dB Hearing Loss
- 41-55 dB Hearing Loss
- 56-70 dB Hearing Loss
- 71-90 and 91+ dB Hearing Loss
- Unilateral Hearing Loss
- High Frequency Hearing Loss
- Mid-Frequency or Reverse-Slope Hearing Loss
- Fluctuating Hearing Loss

The handouts can be downloaded from http://www.kandersonaudconsulting.com (Resources: Listening and Learning).

Please Consider Indicated Items in the Child’s Educational Program:

- Teacher inservice and seating close to teacher
- Hearing monitoring at school every ___ mos.
- Amplification monitoring
- Contact your school district’s audiologist
- Protect ears from noise to prevent more loss
- Educational support services/evaluation
- Screening/evaluation of speech and language
- Note-taking, closed captioned films, visuals
- FM system trial period
- Educational consultation/program supervision by specialist(s) in hearing loss
- Regular contact with other children who are deaf or hard of hearing
- Educational consultation/supervision by specialist(s) in hearing loss
- Periodic educational monitoring such as October and April teacher/student completion of SIFTER, LIFE

NOTE: All children require full access to teacher instruction and educationally relevant peer communication to receive an appropriate education.

Distance, noise in classroom and fragmentation caused by hearing loss prevent full access to spoken instruction. Appropriate acoustics, use of visuals, FM amplification, sign language, note-takers, communication partners, etc. increase access to instruction. Needs periodic hearing evaluation, rigorous amplification checks, and regular monitoring of access to instruction and classroom function (monitoring tools at www.kandersonaudconsulting.com).


Figure 2. The Relationship of Hearing Loss to Listening and Learning Needs handout.

An SES

The "Handouts for Children with Hearing Loss" will be useful in any professional setting, as well as for parents and teachers. For educators and professionals like audiologists, these handouts can also be used to help less and other teams.

These handouts are available for download from the website mentioned above. They are designed to provide useful information and resources to families and educators. The handouts cover a range of topics, including the relationship between hearing loss and other areas of development, strategies for managing hearing loss in the classroom, and recommendations for educational accommodations.

The handouts are available for download in PDF format, and can be printed and distributed to parents, teachers, and other professionals involved in the education of children with hearing loss. They are also available online on the website mentioned above, where they can be accessed and downloaded for free.

The handouts cover a range of topics, including the relationship between hearing loss and other areas of development, strategies for managing hearing loss in the classroom, and recommendations for educational accommodations. They are designed to be helpful to a wide range of audiences, including parents, educators, and other professionals involved in the education of children with hearing loss.

These handouts can be downloaded from http://www.kandersonaudconsulting.com (Resources: Listening and Learning).

An SES
dynamically based on distance from the student, background noise, time of day, and vocal emphasis during instruction. It is estimated that a student speaking quietly or from across a classroom would have speech energy of about 35 dBHL. Considering speech energy only at a single loudness, such as the 45 dBHL, the SII Count-the-Dots Audiogram for conversational speech will underestimate the listening challenges faced by children in a classroom setting.

**An SII Tool for the Classroom**

The Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening (Figure 1, page 21) was developed to improve the professional's ability to estimate listening under varying speech loudness conditions present in typical classrooms.

Information from the SII Count-the-Dots Audiogram was manipulated to provide an estimate of speech audibility at the level of quiet speech (35 dBHL) and at the lower end of the intensity range of a teacher's voice during instruction (50 dBHL). The number of dots appearing in each 5 dB band (ie, 25 dB to 30 dB) was held constant, and this relative percent of speech audibility was indicated on an audiogram to represent a 35 dB input and also a 50 dBHL input (respectively, 10 dB less and 5 dB more than the SII Count-the-Dots Audiogram).

Thus, the Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening represents the audibility of speech for individuals with hearing thresholds (with or without amplification) occurring in 5 dB bands for quiet speech (35 dBHL) and louder than typical conversational level speech (50 dBHL). Also included on the Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening are estimates of listening in noise at these same input levels that were derived from adults with normal hearing. It can be assumed that these listening-in-noise estimates are very optimistic for students with hearing loss—especially the younger children.

To estimate the speech audibility for 35 and 50 dBHL inputs, plot an individual's hearing thresholds (aided or unaided hearing) on the Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening. For example, if a child is experiencing a 25 to 30 dB hearing loss due to otitis media or if a child has hearing levels improved to the 25 to 30 dB level due to amplification, then it is estimated that 25% audibility will occur for soft speech in quiet and 0% audibility will occur if noise is present. Concurrently, if louder speech, such as that of the teacher from 6 to 10 feet away, occurs, it can be estimated that a student with 25 to 30 dBHL hearing ability will experience 81% audibility in quiet, but only 41% audibility in noisy situations, such as transition times in the classroom.

This representation of audibility information does not take into account sloping hearing losses that are more than 10 dB greater in the high frequencies than the low frequencies. Audibility should not be interpreted as speech intelligibility. How a person uses audible speech energy depends on their knowledge of what is being said, the complexity of the information, and their ability to use context and communication repair clues to mentally fill in inaudible portions of speech so that it can be understood meaningfully. A person with 50% audibility may be able to identify 70% of single words and 95% of sentences. Audibility will be further compromised by the presence of varying background noise and classroom reverberation levels of 1.0 second or greater. However, this can largely be negated by the use of personal FM during large-group instruction and classroom discussion. Understanding may also be enhanced by visual cues.

**A Classroom Strategy for Children**

Because audibility is not the same as speech recognition, it is important to obtain both of these estimates when developing a picture of a child's abilities and when planning for his or her classroom listening needs. To estimate a child's speech recognition for sentences and/or words under different listening conditions, a Functional Listening Evaluation or a listening-in-noise assessment should be performed in a sound suite at 0 S/N and +10 S/N at 35 dBHL and 50 dBHL inputs. A completed example of the Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening illustrates how the audibility and estimated speech perception information can be used to develop evidence-based recommendations for a student.

Blank versions of the Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening can be downloaded from www.kandersonaudconsulting.com (Resources: Classroom Acoustics). Audiologists working in clinical, educational, or rehabilitative settings will find that the information contained in the Relationship of Hearing Loss to Listening and Learning Needs handouts (Figure 2, page 23) in combination with the Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening is a powerful means to advocate for the listening and learning needs of their school-age patients.

The Relationship of Hearing Loss to Listening and Learning Needs materials are comprised of 9 separate handouts: 16-25 dB; 26-40 dB; 41-55 dB; 56-70 dB; 71-90 dB; Unilateral Hearing Loss; Fluctuating Hearing Loss; High Frequency Hearing Loss; and Reverse Slope or Mid-Frequency Hearing Loss.

An example of one of these handouts is offered on page 23 (Figure 2); all of the handouts can be downloaded from www.kandersonaudconsulting.com (Resources: Listening and Learning). Clinicians are encouraged to use language from the handouts and the Speech Audibility Audiogram for Classroom Listening as part of their reports to schools, physicians, and parents.
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